



DRAFT MEETING AGENDA

San Antonio Basin GSP Consultation

Date: April 9, 2024

Time: 10:00 a.m. to 10:50 a.m.

Location/Online: Microsoft Teams Meeting

Attendees: Stephanie Bertoux and Randy Sharer, San Antonio Basin Groundwater Sustainability Agency
Shane Edmunds, Timothy Gere, Mark Riedel-Bash, Jack Tung, and Brian Moniz, California Department of Water Resources
Michael McAlpin and Dave O'Rourke, GSI Water Solutions, Inc.

Objectives

A meeting between California Department of Water Resource (DWR) groundwater sustainability plan (GSP) review staff, representatives from the San Antonio Basin Groundwater Sustainability Agency (SABGSA), and GSI Water Solutions, Inc. (GSI) to discuss the DWR GSP Assessment Staff Report, dated January 18, 2024, for the San Antonio Creek Valley Groundwater Basin (Basin) GSP.

Schedule

A proposed meeting agenda is included below.

Time	Speaker	Topic
10:00	--	Introductions
10:10	--	Action Items
10:40	--	Outstanding discussion and meeting wrap-up

Action Items

1. What is the expectation for the progress on recommended corrective actions by the first periodic review of the Basin GSP?
2. Can the Periodic Evaluation and the Annual Report for the reporting water year be combined into a single document?
3. *Corrective Action #2: Re-evaluate the quantitative definition of undesirable results related to degradation of water quality.*

The quantitative definition of an undesirable result should incorporate a combination of minimum threshold (MT) exceedances and clearly explain how that quantitative criteria represent significant and unreasonable conditions occurring throughout the Basin.

The SABGSA understands the proposed MTs are quantitative criteria (defined by Federal, State, and Waterboard regulations) that define undesirable results. The SABGSA has elected to adopt water quality criteria established by the RWQCB. The water quality criteria established by the RWQCB is assumed to be based on unreasonable conditions determined by the RWQCB. The SABGSA does not have the authority nor requirement to modify these criteria. Is this more specific to the MT explanation of “20 percent of wells monitored?”

4. *Land Subsidence sustainable management criteria (SMC). DWR states the GSP does not include a quantitative definition of minimum thresholds that would constitute an undesirable result.*

Reference land subsidence SMC and request DWR confirms explanation.

5. *Section 4.2.3 Water Budget – SABGSA to work to understand the reliability of surface water supply to the Basin in order to develop a projected water surface water budget and revise the estimate of the sustainable yield of the Basin as more data becomes available.*

Surface water supply is currently not used as a source and has been determined not to be a reliable supply in the Basin. The Basin is a closed basin and the headwaters are within the Basin. All the streams in the Basin are classified as intermittent and suspected to be losing streams, except for stream channels located immediately upstream and within the Barka Slough, which are classified as perennial and suspected to be gaining streams. There is no reservoir or imported supply. Is this recommendation specific to periods of flow?